1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 KENNETH HUBBARD and BARBARA Case No. 2:16-cy-01015-GMN-PAL FLETCHER, 8 REPORT OF FINDINGS AND Plaintiffs, 9 RECOMMENDATION v. 10 SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 This matter is before the court on Plaintiffs Kenneth Hubbard and Barbara Fletcher's 14 failure to comply with the court's Screening Order (ECF No. 2). This matter is referred to the 15 undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4 of the Local Rules of Practice. 16 Plaintiff are proceeding in this action pro se, that is, representing themselves. They 17 submitted an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 1) and proposed complaint 18 (ECF No. 1-1). The court issued a Screening Order (ECF No. 2) granting Plaintiffs permission to 19 proceed in forma pauperis and screening the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). The 20 undersigned found that the complaint failed to state a valid claim and allowed them until May 10, 21 2017, to file an amended complaint. The Screening Order warned Plaintiffs that a failure to file 22 an amended complaint addressing the deficiencies explained by the court would result in a 23 recommendation to the district judge that this case be dismissed. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed 24 an amended complaint, requested an extension of time, or taken any other action to prosecute this 25 case. 26 Accordingly, /// 27

///

28

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

111213

15 16

14

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS RECOMMENDED:

- 1. Plaintiffs Kenneth Hubbard and Barbara Fletcher's Complaint (ECF No. 3) be DISMISSED without prejudice.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court be instructed to close the case and enter judgment accordingly. Dated this 18th day of May, 2017.

PEGGY A LEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

This Report of Findings and Recommendation is submitted to the assigned district judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is not immediately appealable to the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Any notice of appeal to the Ninth Circuit should not be filed until entry of the district court's judgment. See Fed. R. App. Pro. 4(a)(1). Pursuant to LR IB 3-2(a) of the Local Rules of Practice, any party wishing to object to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations of shall file and serve specific written objections, together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within 14 days of the date of service. See also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 6, 72. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report of Findings and Recommendation," and it is subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-3(b). The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the district court's acceptance of this Report of Findings and Recommendation without further review. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). In addition, failure to file timely objections to any factual determinations by a magistrate judge may be considered a waiver of a party's right to appellate review of the findings of fact in an order or judgment entered pursuant to the recommendation. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 1991); Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 72.